

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

128

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/706,595  | 11/12/2003         | Michael S. South     | PHA 4163.5 (3455/1)     | 9970              |  |
| 321   | 7590 06/28/2005    | /590 06/28/2005      |                         | EXAMINER          |  |
| SENNIGER POWERS LEAVITT AND ROEDEL ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR |                    |                      | KUMAR, SH               | KUMAR, SHAILENDRA |  |
|   |                    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER      |  |
| ST LOUIS,   | ST LOUIS, MO 63102 |                      |                         |                   |  |
|   |                    |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005 |                   |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/706,595   | SOUTH ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | SHAILENDRA KUMAR   | 1621   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).            | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED                 | nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J   | <u>une 2005</u> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| • •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 39-58 and 61-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 39-58 and 61-63 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/706,595

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The FINALITY of the rejection dated 6/8/05 is hereby withdrawn.

This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed on 6/16/05.

The following office action is in order.

Claims 39-58 and 61-63 are pending in this application.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 39-58 and 61-63 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,852,761. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because when in the instant application, J is halo or hydroxy, B is cycloalkyl, A is a bond or alkylene, R1 and X<sup>0</sup> are hydrogen, Z<sup>0</sup> is a bond, Q is phenyl, Y<sup>0</sup> is amidinobenzyl, one of ordinary skill in the art would have obtained compounds which are similar to those claimed in the above patent.

Art Unit: 1621

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA -. KUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-0640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30, Alt Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571)272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAILENDRA—KUMAR
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1621

S.Kumar 6/23/05